

## RESEARCH

includes research articles that focus on the analysis and resolution of managerial and academic issues based on analytical and empirical or case research

# *To Work or Not to Work: Construction of Meaning of Work and Making Work Choices*

**M V Anuradha, E S Srinivas, Manish Singhal, and S Ramnarayan**

### *Executive Summary*

Meaning of work as explored in this study refers to the function that organizational paid employment serves for people. Is work a means of fulfilling social and economic expectations? Is it a means through which an individual can learn and grow personally? Is it a means of earning a living? Or is it an opportunity for people to express and apply their talents and expertise? In the real world, work could mean all of these together. However, when participants were asked about the meaning of work, their responses indicated the predominance of one meaning at any given time.

The intent of this research was to explore how the predominant meaning of work got constructed before people started working and how that affected their work choices. The research was based on the assumption that meaning of work is a dynamic concept, i.e. the same individual can hold different meanings of work at two different points in time. This assumption in effect also suggests that meaning is not determined by fixed personality characteristics of an individual, but gets constructed through the interaction of the social structures and the personal preferences of people. In order to understand how the meaning of work evolves even before one starts working, a process theory of the construction of meaning of work was developed inductively using the work narratives of 44 public sector bank employees in India.

It was found that work means different things to different people, but for ease of comprehension and for the purpose of analysis, the meaning of work has been categorized under two broad headings – social meaning of work and personal meaning of work. When the main function of work is to fulfill social and economic expectations, the person can be said to hold a social meaning of work. If work is predominantly a means to satisfy personal aspirations and interests, then it holds a personal meaning.

The narratives suggest that when individuals have multiple career or job alternatives to choose from and also possess a clear knowledge of their interests, then their work choices are driven by personal inclinations and work takes on a personal meaning. On the other hand, when the number of job alternatives is limited and an individual does not have insight into one's own interests then social expectations and metaphors related to work influence the meaning of work and work choices. The theoretical and managerial implications of the findings and limitations are also discussed.

#### **KEY WORDS**

**Meaning of Work**

**Grounded Theory**

**Work Choices**

**Awareness of Interests**

**Social Metaphors of Work**

**White Collared Jobs**

*Work is about a search for daily meaning as well as daily bread, for recognition as well as cash, for astonishment rather than torpor; in short, for a sort of life rather than a Monday through Friday sort of dying.*

— Studs Terkel

In organizational literature, the concept of meaning with respect to work has been studied using different lenses. These studies can broadly be clubbed under three significant research categories. The first set of researchers look at ‘what’ work means to people. They use the term *sensus* (Morin, 2008) to refer to the non-economic connotations that work has for people, its significance in an individual’s life vis-a-vis other aspects and the function of work in general for people. This definition of meaning of work has been used by studies looking at the financial and non-financial functions of work in an individual’s life. These studies are popularly referred to as the *lottery question* studies (Harpaz & Fu, 2002; Harpaz, 2002; Morse & Weiss, 1955; Vecchio, 1980), the *Meaning of Working* (MoW) studies and the *work orientations* literature (Bunderson & Thompson, 2009; Wrzesniewski, Dutton, & Debebe, 2003).

The second stream of ‘meaning of work’ literature tries to answer the question, ‘Why is work meaningful?’ or ‘When will people say that their work is meaningful?’ These studies focus on the content of the work one does and one’s perception of its significance, purpose, and coherence with his/her personal values. Morin (2008) uses the term, *Sumo* - a person’s direction and orientation in the work, what one is seeking in work and the purposes that guide one’s actions (Iskasen, 2000; Lips-Wiersma & Morris, 2009; Rosso, Dekas, & Wrzesniewski, 2010). Studies looking at the sources of work meaning define it in terms of *sumo* and the person-environment fit theorists define its meaning in terms of coherence (Spreitzer, 1995).

The third stream of literature tries to address the question, ‘How does work come to be meaningful?’ The prominent theories addressing this question are the *job characteristics model* and, more recently, the *job crafting* studies.

This evident multiplicity in the way meaning of work is defined, poses a huge challenge to the development of any systematic knowledge in this area. Moreover, little is known about how judgments about meaning of work in everyday life are formed (Wrzesniewski et al., 2003). Do

people’s personal attributes determine the meaning of work or do social institutions influence the meaning of work? Knowledge of these aspects of work is extremely relevant for career counselors and organizational recruiters. When assessing an individual’s eligibility for work, it is as important to know the background factors that influence a person’s behaviour as it is to know his/her present competencies. It is important because the future behaviour of the individuals at work is based on the meaning of work they bring with them when they join the organization.

In the present study, an attempt has been made to address the above-mentioned research gap. The findings presented here are part of a larger study that explored the process through which the meaning of work is constructed and reconstructed over a life time of working within organizations. The discussion here is restricted to the possible meanings that work can hold for individuals even before they join formal employment and the process through which this meaning comes to be attributed to work. The main assumption being made therefore is that the meaning of work gets gradually constructed in the minds of people through an interactive effect of their social and personal circumstances.

## METHOD

In this study, the grounded theory approach to qualitative research (Glaser & Strauss, 1967) was adopted and semi-structured interviews were used as tools for data collection. The work related experiences of 44 employees (6 employees at the clerical level and 38 managers, all of whom had experience ranging from 8 months to 40 years) from Indian public sector banks were analysed. For the purpose of this study, meaning of work has been defined as the function that work performs for the individual. It attempts to find an answer to the question, “Why do people work?” “What is the predominant reason behind working?” Work has been defined as ‘organizational paid employment’. Triangulation through the use of theoretical sampling and multiple experiences by different people (Pokinghorne, 2005) was used to strengthen the understanding of the phenomenon of the construction of meaning of work. Though a study of construction of meaning of work could be carried out with any sample, the reason for choosing public sector bank employees was based on the fact that the status and meaning of public sector bank employment has undergone a distinct change

over the years. This sample allowed for comparison of meaning of work between the older employees for whom public sector employment was a highly sought-after profession and the younger employees whose social milieu did not consider it prestigious. Therefore, the effect of personal values as well as social influences on the construction of meaning of work could be captured using data from the same industry/profession. Once the interviews were transcribed, the process of theoretical coding was followed in analysing the data in this study (Saldana, 2009).

## CONSTRUCTION OF MEANING OF WORK

The work narratives of the participants in the study suggested that the meaning that they ascribed to their work was in many cases influenced by the social norms and expectations regarding work. The section below discusses a part of these findings and looks at how people hold certain meanings towards work even before they start working. The intention here is to delineate the process through which a predominant meaning was ascribed to work. These findings have implications for academicians and managers alike as it gives us a glimpse of how people make career and work choices. Knowing this would be useful in making important managerial decisions like hiring and retention.

### Meaning of Work

It was seen that people held multiple meanings of work at the same time. However, one meaning usually seemed to predominate at a given point in time. To be able to see how meaning of work gets constructed overtime, it was important to categorize people depending upon the predominant meaning of work for them. Two categorizations were made here, based on the experiences of the participants. When the predominant meaning/function of work was to fulfill the social and economic expectations held largely by their family members and the relevant community, the meaning of work was said to be 'social'. When the predominant meaning/function of work was to fulfill an individual's personal needs, aspirations and interests, work was said to have a 'personal' meaning. As already stated, these categories are not exclusive of each other, an individual could hold both the meanings at any given point in time. The process of how one meaning comes to dominate the other is what is being explored here.

## The Social Meaning of Work

An in-depth analysis of the narratives of the participants showed that the meaning of work especially before they started to work was defined to a large extent by the society.

People were driven by various social and economic motivations for engaging in organizational employment. For some, it was simply an economic decision – they joined the work that paid more. At times an individual had a choice of not working, yet chose to do so. In this case, the social meaning of work was driven by the need to be a 'good son' or a 'good daughter'. A third reason, most common amongst the working population is that it is 'natural' for an adult to work. The three drivers of course are not completely exclusive. An individual at times stated all the three reasons for joining work.

Societies value 'work' and see 'work as a natural consequence of growing up – as a natural process through which an individual progresses from being dependent on the resources of the family and the society to actively contributing to it' (Gisbert, 1972). The expectations and norms regarding work seemed to be highly implicit in most of the narratives. It seemed but natural to start working after a socially and culturally prescribed stage in life. One participant replied rather nonchalantly to my query about his views on work before he started working by saying, "I had to work after I completed my post-graduation; I got paid for it; so, I worked."

The matter-of-fact manner in which this participant answered was not typical only of him; many people couldn't think of an answer to this question. Work was natural.

Almost all the participants thought of working as a natural part of their growing up whether they had financial constraints or not. One may ask, 'why is it natural to work?' Are human beings driven by a biological urge to work and be productive? Or are they driven by the socially created expectations to work? It is quite possible that both the factors could contribute to people's 'need' to work.

The data suggests that the need to work was propelled to a large extent by the social norms regarding work. Some of the interviews brought out the attitude that people – society and family members – have towards idleness. This strong social distaste for idleness could actually help throw light on what the society prefers. The excerpts of

two individuals, whose experiences suggest that they were driven to work largely because of social devaluation of idleness, are provided below:

It's been three years after my B. Tech degree.....I am supposed to join some organization; it won't look nice if one is idle for three years. So I was forced to join this bank.

What I am going to tell you is a personal story..... why I joined the bank..... My father retired in 1989 as a gazetted officer with the Indian audit and accounts survey ..... Those days, the sessions in the universities used to be late by two years or even more.... It so happened that my father got a stroke ..... the doctors said that his blood pressure had shot up because of some tension that he was carrying. When I asked my father what was bothering him, he said point blank that although he was getting a handsome pension, still the fact that my brother and I were unemployed was causing him great worry. As I did not have a bachelor's degree, I asked my father what type of job I should choose... He said that I should get a salary that was at least more than his pension...So, I had only two options.... either to join UPSC or a bank as a probationary officer.

There were also instances where participants suggested that they started working because they had a lot of time in hand and not because of any economic need to do so. Most often their family members influenced their decision to start working instead of being idle. One example is provided below:

In those days, all these classes used to take place in the evening ...so, I had no work for the entire day... My father was working in the Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC) and so he asked me to join LIC...as undergraduates were allowed.

Why did the participant feel that "it wouldn't look nice to be idle for three years"? How could unemployment of his sons be such a big concern for a father despite the fact that there was no financial need for worry? Why did people choose to work instead of sitting idle when they had scope to do so? These excerpts point towards the fact that idleness is not socially valued. If that were true, it brings us to the antithesis that work is socially valued. But how did work come to be valued more than idleness? The an-

swer to this question cannot be directly derived from the responses of the participants, as most of the times the propelling forces dictating meanings act unconsciously and become an unquestioned part of the repertoire of individuals (Baumeister, 1991; Frankl, 2004). The participants were not even aware of the social processes underlying the valuation of work. To understand these underlying processes, it becomes imperative to look at literature relating to work.

The huge value placed on work and disdain of idleness by cultures was not always present, according to the Western theorists. Early societies everywhere viewed working as distasteful and a violation to the human spirit. It was only with the advent of Calvinism and the protestant work ethic that work started being valued and the working individual was eulogized as being virtuous (Baumeister, 1991; Terkel, 1972; De, 1984 as cited in Tripathi, 1990). The protestant work ethic claimed that work was a means to salvation and lauded work for its own sake.

The national culture of India, however, was insulated from the influence of Calvinism when the notion of the protestant work ethic rose to prominence (Tripathi, 1990). Nonetheless work was valued, not necessarily as a means to salvation but as a means to the end of fulfilling one's duty towards one's family. Therefore, duties and not rights are the guiding forces in cultures like India's (Sinha, 1997 as cited in Narayanan & Krishnan, 2003). The significance of work as a means to enact one's duties could possibly have been socially constructed like the way in which work took on a social meaning through the protestant work ethic in the West. The significance of duty orientation is evident in the Hindu traditional social system which provides a stage-wise prescription of the expected behaviour at various ages for people. The stage called the *Grihastha ashrama* is for people between the ages of 19 to 54 approximately. This is a phase when one enacts the *dharma* and tries to fulfill one's duties towards one's family as well as society. *Dharma* (duty towards family and society) and *artha* (acquiring wealth) are the main aims of life at this stage. Gainful labour becomes a means through which the duties can be fulfilled (Koller, 2002; Menon, 2007). Interestingly, according to the Indian philosophy, salvation comes only after one gives up the phase of active work. Work is valued because it is a means through which one enacts one's duties and idleness is not respected because it was seen as reluctance to take up one's

social roles and responsibilities.

Therefore, the argument being made here is that people might not be actively aware of the social forces that influence their decision to work; however, there is a constant subtext of social creation underlying the notions of work and its value, which eventually influences the behaviour of people. The life stages prescribed by the Hindu texts and the value of duty orientation prescribed by collectivistic cultures (Fouad et al., 2008) could be the possible influences on the positive value placed on work for an Indian employee. This view of work as being a means to enact one's social roles and responsibilities also emerged as a significant theme in the narratives.

For some people, the jobs they took up were "just an employment opportunity". However, it cannot be ignored that even this simple economic decision was driven by a motive to play out a social role – to act as a 'provider' to their family unit. They were driven by their personal sense of responsibility as part of the family. Work, therefore, was also a means through which they could become valued members of the family unit.

### Personal Meaning of Work

There were also instances when social expectations and fulfilling roles and responsibilities were not the main determinants of the meaning of work. Even before joining, work for some people was seen as a means to express one's personal abilities and interests. This has been called the 'personal' meaning of work because work was seen as a means to fulfill personal needs more than as a means to fulfill social roles and responsibilities. What could work provide beyond social recognition and economic sustenance?

Many young employees felt that work could provide them with on-the-job learning, which will help them increase their expertise and develop a niche for themselves. The reason provided by one participant for working represents this perspective.

I write stories and novels in Hindi...so, I wanted to be in a kind of service that provided me the opportunity to have day-to-day interaction with people...so that I could gain experience...meet new people....The PO's job in the bank has a mandatory rural posting. That was a charm for me as I

could get in touch with rural India, which might not be possible in other services... that's why I tried for a job in the bank and got it.

Work was also seen as a means to make a difference to the lives of others and this meaning generally crystallized after some event made the social influence aspect of an occupation or job salient within the person. This fact is evident in the excerpt provided below.

My first motivation (to join this job) was instigated during the last year of my graduation when I had accompanied my friend to the Collector's office. We had to get some work done related to the pension of his father, who had retired from the state government.... That was the first time I had met a collector. It gave me some idea about his power; the attraction towards a government job started then itself, but the motivation became stronger when later while working with an NGO, I got a chance to meet the Chief Executive Officer of a Zilla Parishad (district). I saw what change a positive person could bring about if he had power. That was when I decided that to contribute to the society, I ought to be in a government job.

In organizational literature, the studies on 'work values' and its differentiation of values as intrinsic and extrinsic work values have similarities with the concept of meaning of work. Work values have been defined as the outcomes people desire and feel they should attain through work (Singh, Bhandarker, Rai, & Jain, 2011; Twenge, Campbell, Hoffman, & Lance, 2010). However, work values refer to what an individual ideally desires from work. The present study looks at the actual function that work comes to perform for people through their own experiences, irrespective of what people would ideally desire. The meaning of work might be driven by work values but the two concepts are not the same.

The next question that arises is: "What are the forces that determine the predominant function that people will ascribe to work and what are the motivations that drive people to seek organizational employment?" An exploration of the narratives suggested that what the meaning of work will be before one started working was determined by two factors: the presence of choice and the awareness of personal interests and values.

## DETERMINANTS OF MEANING OF WORK

### Presence of Choice

Presence of 'choice' emerged as one of the important influencing factors on the meaning of work. Choice can be understood in two ways. Firstly, is working optional for the person? And secondly, how wide is the array of alternatives available to an individual at a given point in time?

An attempt to answer the question of whether work is optional for individuals, led to the discovery that choice is determined to some extent by the social expectations from an individual with respect to his/her gender and age. The society specifies age and gender relevant behaviours related to work. Unfortunately, the manner in which studies related to work values and factors affecting them have been carried out till date, are not very helpful in revealing the underlying dynamics influencing the meaning of work. Previous studies simply stated that demographic factors like gender, age, and ethnic groups affect work values. What was not considered was that these demographic characteristics might only be surrogates for a deeper influence of social role expectations (Hirschi, 2010; Mottaz, 1986; Sagie, Elizur, & Koslowsky, 1996; Sharma, 2011). For example, expectations regarding work were seen to be a deep-rooted socially prescribed gender role for men (Mannheim, 1993).

That is the reason why an attempt has been made here in this study, to move beyond the apparent influences of demographics to understand how demographics actually determine the 'choice' available to an individual when it comes to working. The gender role expectations, for example, are actually instrumental in determining the meaning of work for a person because it was seen that when work was not a social compulsion, people tended to work because of personal interest and intrinsic motives.

For many women, in fact, working was a personal choice (though some women stated economic compulsion and providing for family as the reason for working.) For such women, the reason for working was to express oneself and be independent. Work was not a socio-economic compulsion for them. It instead held a personal meaning. These social roles and expectations, however, are not constant but are ever changing, affecting people differently across different time periods. For example, working for women today has come to hold as central a position in life as it is for men. Many women of the present generation consider

work as a natural life choice. One lady, for example, when asked why she chose to work retorted by saying, "This question (of why one is working) is irrelevant in today's world...you work because you have to be financially independent."

Choice (emanating from gender role expectations for example) therefore is a function of the social and economic norms prevalent at any given point in time for an individual. Therefore, generalizations cannot be made about choice for an entire generation or cohort. The only generalization that can be made from the findings in this study is that, when people have a choice, they tend to search for personal meanings in work. Work then becomes a source of self-expression.

The second possibility for variations in choice across individuals arises from the availability of jobs. When there are fewer jobs in the market, individuals have fewer choices and this was seen to affect the meaning they ascribed to work. The interviewees in this study comprised people across generations and therefore, were embedded in different socio-economic scenarios. If the cohort that started working 30 years ago is considered, for them, work was either a means to fulfill their social expectations or to act as a provider to their families. Work was simply a means of livelihood. Almost all of them said that their decision to join the jobs was "not thought through."

If one were to compare that cohort with the more recent entrants who have a number of job alternatives both in the private and public sectors in India, one wonders what could work possibly mean to them? The reasons cited by the younger employees for joining the jobs in their organizations, however, were not very different from that of their older counterparts. Good salary and a good brand name was what everyone looked for in a job irrespective of the time. Nevertheless most of them expressed having "thought through" their decision to join a bank. The reasons for making the decisions varied from learning and acquiring skills, to being able to make a difference. Security became a less important concern while deciding on employment.

The idea of choice, however, as already stated, cannot be bound within the boundaries of age or cohort. There were a number of participants who expressed a lack of choice even in the younger generation. This lack of choice was led by various reasons, like lack of job opportunities due

to economic recession or fewer organizations coming to their institute for placements, etc. An excerpt showing this 'lack of choice' in a young employee is given below:

When I joined the MBA institute, I thought I would be passing out with a hefty package and a good brand name. But unfortunately that didn't happen, because being the first batch, we didn't get many companies in the campus. Another compulsion was that I had to pursue my career in finance domain. So, I had no other option but to join this bank. Now I am trying to switch to a private bank or a financial company.

These individuals expressed a lack of choice in work alternatives. In such a scenario, work was looked upon simply as a means of financial security. This shows that the environment and the kind of opportunities present in the job market determined the construction of meaning of work at any given point in time.

In a recent Indian study, Singh et al. (2011) found that with increase in education, people were found to give less emphasis on security and greater emphasis on personal growth. Personal growth here refers to an orientation towards continuous self-development and enhancement of personal skills. Increase in education implies availability of greater options of work and therefore, wider choice. This is exactly what was seen in the meanings that people in this study ascribed to work. When jobs were easy to find, people started thinking beyond its economic function and tried to explore what kind of work would match their personal values and provide them with opportunities for personal growth.

These findings suggest that choice of the job one would want to take up and whether one would want to work at all or not, is a social construction too. A lot of this decision could be a result of the gender role expectations in society with respect to work and socio-economic factors like job availability, education, etc.

Choice alone, however, was not a determining factor for the meaning ascribed to work before an individual started working. Even when people had the choice and flexibility to work/choose the kind of work they would want to do, it became crucial for them to know what their personal interests and values were, for work to hold any personal meaning.

## Awareness of Personal Interests

Participants who were aware of their personal preferences ascribed a personal meaning to work, before they started working. Work became a source of self expression for them. The interview excerpt below provides support for this contention.

I knew I could join a private sector organization and get a better salary but the only reason I joined a public sector organization was the comfortable work environment that it provided. A woman's priorities are different – while career is important, other things also matter. So, while working in a bank may not be as comfortable as being a school teacher where one gets lot of holidays or as beneficial as working in a private firm, a public sector organization may offer stability and security.

In the absence of such awareness, people invariably turned towards the social connotations of work. They worked because it was an economic necessity or an unavoidable social role and responsibility. Like one participant pointed out, "(I) appeared for all the MBA entrance examinations; everyone does that after graduation if he/she has nothing specific on his/her mind".

## Interactive Effect of Choice and Awareness

However, having choice and being aware of one's interests are not enough for influencing the meaning of work. These two factors are not independent of each other. Choice and self awareness interact with each other in more complex ways to determine the meaning of work for an individual before he/she joins work. For work to have a personal meaning, both the factors have to be present – one needs to know what one wants and also have the option of making relevant choices with respect to work. Therefore, one factor becomes a qualifier for the other. Just having choice but not knowing what one wants and vice versa, would only lead individuals to ascribe largely social meanings to work. This becomes evident in the narratives of many participants who suggested that they were aware of their interest but had little choice to take up those activities as an employment.

I dreamt of power flying because I had taken pilot training in gliding. But that required a lot of money; hence I couldn't continue. So, I thought of earning and then going back for that later on. I had to also

support my family and so, I had to take up the bank job.

The discussion of influencing factors suggests that to understand the process of construction of meaning of work, one needs to view an individual as possessing personal values and preferences which is also embedded within a socio-economic context that constrains and influences the meanings one ascribes to organizational paid employment. Gottfredson (Gottfredson, 1981 as cited in Poole & Cooney, 1985) calls this the social-environmental constraints theory. This theory suggests that work decisions (and consequently its meaning) are constrained by the socio-economic environment and the gender role expectations for an individual (Poole & Cooney, 1985).

Till this point, the explication of factors and meaning of work has been carried out as though these factors are fixed entities. The active construction of meaning of work by the individual can only be understood if the processes underlying them are examined. The discussion below aims to clarify the process through which people make work choices and ascribe certain meanings to work even before they start working. It was seen that when people knew what they wanted and had a choice to engage in those activities, work took on a personal meaning. When both or either of the two influencing factors was absent, people tended to turn to social cues to make work choices. What were the social cues? And how did they get conveyed to the people?

### **Making Work Choices: Turning to Social Cues**

Do people take up any kind of employment or is there a conscious or unconscious analysis of the job alternatives available to them before they start working? The data here suggests that people in fact analyse the different available alternatives of work. While such analysis was at times very explicit and consciously done, at other times, it was a simple and straightforward imitation of employment choices made by members of similar socio-economic background. In the second case, the work choices were aided by the existing metaphors about work.

### ***Social Creation of Metaphors for Work***

The social value of work was seen to have got communicated to individuals by the family and the social community through the use of metaphors. These metaphors are usually so deeply entrenched in the social repertoire that

many a times they influence work choices at a sub-conscious level (Morgan, 1980). When people were unaware of these social influences on their behaviour, their work choices were passive responses to social cues, instead of being actively thought through. Social scientists suggest that metaphors have the ability to shape the reality for people (Morgan 1980) and are also instrumental in the formation of identities (of organizations and individuals) (Fiol, 2002; Gioia, Thomas, Clark, & Chittipeddi, 1994). The influence of metaphors was clearly visible on the study participants as well.

'White collared job' and 'respectable job' were the metaphors that were most prominent in influencing work choices among the participants of this study. The purpose of metaphors is to create a mental image for its users that clearly distinguish one object or concept from the others. The metaphor 'white collared', for instance was used to denote administrative or office work. It provided an image of work that involved the use of intellect and contrasted it with the opposite of 'blue collared' jobs that required physical labour in difficult work situations.

How do these metaphors get created in the society? The metaphors of valued work seem to get created between the spaces of the existing socio-economic environment as well as the preexisting cultural views of work. In the Indian tradition, for example, as in most other societies across the globe, manual work was considered to be inferior to mental work, and was associated with the people lower in the caste hierarchy (Sinha, 1990; Gisbert, 1972). It was also seen that people in more collectivistic cultures base their career choices on criteria such as prestige and status (Fouad et al., 2008) which came from engaging in intellectual work. Such enduring cultural valuation of intellectual work and devaluation of manual work was seen to be relayed in the form of metaphors across generations through the family and other social entities like educational institutions, social communities, etc. The metaphors became part of the everyday language of people in a given community. It should however be noted that the metaphors that denoted and conveyed the value of work were not constant across time. The construction of metaphors for value of work and changes in them overtime are discussed below using the example of the social evaluation of the public sector bank job in India.

The work narratives of the participants in this study show how the economic condition of the nation and the poli-

cies of the government shaped the perceptions about the kind of work people valued and aspired for. Analysing the alternatives available in the job market was the typical way through which a job choice began. It is interesting to see that even these alternatives were socially created to a large extent. When there were fewer jobs in the market due to the economic situation of the nation, jobs which ensured security and a promise of a good life were not only considered viable economically but also took on social connotations. Even out of the few alternatives that were available, some jobs took on a more respectable connotation because of the security they provided at a time of an economic slump. In the society, security started being respected and the jobs providing security became sought after. Therefore, eventually, financial security and social recognition became intertwined, providing the job incumbents a special space in the society.

The public sector bank jobs in India are a good example of how over time they have come to be considered respectable 'white collared jobs'. In fact, many participants suggested that they took up this job because it was a 'white collared job' and was highly respected in society.

These guiding metaphors however kept changing with changes in the social and economic conditions. When the economic conditions of the country improved and the availability of jobs stopped being a concern for people, the source of respect shifted from simply gaining financial security to getting high pay. Status started being attached to jobs in posh corporate offices with fat paychecks (Chakrabarti, 2010). When the younger generation employees were asked about what their criteria for a job search were, they generally said that they were looking for a high pay and a good brand name. Though people had similar aspirations even 30 years back, the standards of good pay and status associated with public sector organizations have changed in India. This change is visible through the changes in the use of metaphors. None of the younger participants in the study mentioned 'white collared job' or 'respectable job' as being the reason for taking up a banking job. Instead 'being an MBA' emerged as a common term used by them. The term is being referred to as a metaphor here, because the participants using it did not find it necessary to explain the connotations or expectations of work they thought were attached to 'being an MBA'. It was assumed that people understood the social connotations of the term.

I was expecting at least minimum infrastructure; frankly, that was not there – computers were outdated, printers were not running, dot matrix printers were still being used. When I came here to join, the first day, I felt, where did I come? You can't imagine, being an MBA, you are working in such a place.

Various studies have found generational differences in the centrality of work and what people value at work (Cennamo & Gardner, 2008; Twenge et al., 2010), but the question is how do these differences emerge?

A study done in India on the expectations of employees from their workplaces, found that education shapes the expectations people have from the workplace (Singh, Bhandarker, Rai, & Jain, 2011). This was especially true for MBA students. Research has found that 60 percent of the work values are environment-based while 40 percent have a genetic basis (Sagie, Elizur, & Koslowsky, 1996). How does the environment shape the meaning of work and determine what people value in work (work values)? What really happens in the society that ensures the homogenization of a group of people and makes them expect and value similar things from work?

The work narratives here suggest that the creation and use of metaphors relating to work act as a significant medium through which the meaning of work gets socially constructed and conveyed to individuals. Metaphors go beyond the literal understanding of the expression and bring together a set of images that are closer to the experience of the phenomenon (Pokinghorne, 2005) which in turn affect meaning of work and work choices made by individuals.

### Relaying Social Metaphors of Work

The participants in this study indicated that their decision of job choice and ultimately what they desired out of work was shaped by the popular trends in their societies. Family, social community, and educational institutions became the means through which the broader culture and values of the community got transferred to the person. Some excerpts supporting this finding are presented below.

I wanted to work in the private sector. But, job security, perks, and steady salary offered by the public sector banks made it attractive to my family. So,

I continued. Those days working in a bank was in general considered very good.

These findings support previous studies which have also found that what people expect from work is influenced by family, parents, teachers, peers, and significant others (Inkson, 2004; Sagie, Elizur, & Koslowsky, 1996; Stafford & Jackson, 1983; Poole & Cooney, 1985), the national culture, the relevant sub-cultures (Kakar, 1971; Sengupta & Sinha, 2005), and the dominant social institutions (Parboteeah & Cullen, 2003) at any given point in time.

The influencing factors and the underlying processes through which the meaning of work gets constructed even before people start working has been depicted in Figure 1 in the form of a flow chart for easy comprehension.

### IMPLICATIONS OF THE FINDINGS

One important question that needs to be addressed at the end of this research is: Will knowing the process through which meaning of work is constructed be of any use to anyone?


The findings of this research can have implications for training, recruitment, job design, and leadership development efforts in all organizations. The most important insight from this study that could be useful for practitioners is that metaphors influence the meanings people attribute to their work; these metaphors get conveyed through the medium of family members, friends, co-workers, customers, etc. As an HR professional, one needs to understand these metaphors and use them as a guideline for implementing relevant policies or if possible try and influence the existing metaphors by creating new ones. For instance, the public sector banks in India today are encountering the challenge of high attrition among the new joiners, especially those who have been recruited from MBA institutes and a large number of employees who will be retiring in the next five years (Khandelwal Report, 2010). There are many human resource policy

changes that have been suggested to deal with these issues, but would changing policies and practices be enough to bring about a bottom up change in the system? It is suggested here that it might not be completely effective even though it is possible to bring some change through policy decisions. The interview data points out a change in the way work is perceived overtime. Any policy change cannot be brought about without considering these changes in the meaning of work. Therefore, it is important to look at what the new employees expect out of work. There is a change in the dominant metaphors associated with 'prestigious work' in the middle class Indian society. 'White collared job' is no more the guiding metaphor for choosing between jobs; instead 'being an MBA' emerged as a dominant metaphor mentioned by the new entrants. It might be helpful for practitioners to know what 'being an MBA' signifies for the younger population. It seemed that 'being an MBA' carried with it a connotation of possessing specialized knowledge and skill sets. The solution could lie in creating new metaphors for public sector bank work and discarding the prevalent ones. Organizations need to know what their strengths are and more importantly what could be their strengths from the perspective of a young MBA graduate whom one might want to recruit. Can new metaphors be created around those aspects and work practices changed to match the metaphors? Paying attention to these issues could provide a deeper and more sustainable way of dealing with recruitment and attrition problems that the public sector banks are facing today.

### LIMITATIONS

One prominent limitation of this study is that the theory was built on the recollected experiences of the participants instead of live experiences. To counteract this limitation, triangulation, using the experiences of various participants, was used as a way to strengthen the process theory developed from the interviews in this study. ✓

**Exhibit 1: Flow Chart indicating the Process of Construction of Meaning of Work before joining an Organization**



**REFERENCES**

Baumeister, R. F. (1991). *Meanings of life*. New York: The Guilford Press.

Bunderson, J. S., & Thompson, J. A. (2009). The call of the wild: Zookeepers, callings, and the double-edged sword of deeply meaningful work. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 54(1), 32-57

Cennamo, L., & Gardner, D. (2008). Generational differences in work values, outcomes and person-organization values fit. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 23(8), 891-906.

Chakrabarti, R. (2010). *Grit, guts & gumption: Driving change in a state owned giant*. New Delhi: Penguin.

Fiol, C. M. (2002). Capitalizing on paradox: The role of language in transforming organizational identities. *Organization Science*, 13(6), 653-666.

Fouad, N. A., Kantamneni, N., Smothers, M. K. Chen, Y-L, Fitzpatrick, M., & Terry, S. (2008). Asian American career development: A qualitative analysis. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 72, 43-59.

Frankl, V. E. (2004). *Man's search for meaning*. London: Rider Publications.

Gioia, D. A., Thomas, J. B., Clark, S. M., & Chittipeddi, K. (1994). Symbolism and strategic change in academia: The dynamics of sensemaking and influence. *Organization Science*, 5(3).

Gisbert, P. (1972). *Fundamentals of industrial sociology*. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. Ltd.

Glaser, B., & Strauss, A. (1967). *The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies in qualitative research*. London: Wiedenfeld and Nicholson.

Harpaz, I., & Fu, X. (2002). The structure of the meaning of work: A relative stability amidst change. *Human Relations*, 55(6), 639-668.

Harpaz, I. (2002). Expressing a wish to continue or stop working as related to the meaning of work. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 11(2), 177-198.

Hirschi, A. (2010). Positive adolescent career development: The role of intrinsic and extrinsic work values. *The Career Development Quarterly*, 58(3), 276-287.

Inkson, K. (2004). Images of career: Nine key metaphors. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 65(1), 96-111.

- Isaksen, J. (2000). Constructing meaning despite the drudgery of repetitive work. *The Journal of Humanistic Psychology*, 40(3), 84-107.
- Kakar, S. (1971). Authority patterns and subordinate behavior in Indian organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 16(3), 298-307.
- Khandelwal, A. K. (2010). *Report on the committee for HR issues in Public sector banks*.
- Koller, J. M. (2002). *Asian Philosophies (4th Ed)*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Lips-Wiersma, M., & Morris, L. (2009). Discriminating between meaningful work and the management of meaning. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 88(3), 491-511.
- Mannheim, B. (1993). Gender and the effects of demographics, status, and work values on work centrality. *Work and Occupations*, 20(1), 3-22.
- Menon, R. (2007). *Bhagwata Purana*. New Delhi: Rupa & Co.
- Morgan, G. (1980). Extension of existing metaphors: Paradigms, metaphors and puzzle solving in organization theory. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 25(4), 605-622.
- Morin, E. (2008). *The meaning of work, mental health and organizational commitment*. IRSST Research Report, Quebec, Canada.
- Morse, N. C., & Weiss, R. S. (1955). The function and meaning of work and the job. *American Sociological Review*, 20(2), 191-198.
- Mottaz, C. J. (1986). Gender differences in work satisfaction, work-related rewards and values, and the determinants of work satisfaction. *Human Relations*, 39(4), 359-378.
- Narayanan, J., & Krishnan, V. R. (2003). Impact of Sattva and Rajas Gunas on Transformational Leadership and Karma-Yoga. *Journal of Indian Psychology*, 21(2), 1-11.
- Parboteeah, K. P., & Cullen, J. B. (2003). Social institutions and work centrality: Explorations beyond national culture. *Organization Science*, 14(2), 137-148.
- Pokinghorne, D. E. (2005). Language and meaning: Data collection in qualitative research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 52(2), 137-145.
- Poole, M. E., & Cooney, G. H. (1985). Careers: Adolescent awareness and exploration of possibilities for self. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 26(3), 251-263.
- Rosso, B. D., Dekas, K. H., & Wrzeniewski, A. (2010). On the meaning of work: A theoretical integration and review. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 30, 91-127.
- Sagie, A., Elizur, D., & Koslowsky, M. (1996). Work values: A theoretical overview and a model of their effects. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 17(1), 503-514.
- Saldana, J. (2009). *The coding manual for qualitative researchers*. London: Sage Publications.
- Sengupta, S. S., & Sinha, J. B. P. (2005). Perceived dimensions of societal and organizational cultures and their impact on managerial work behavior. *Journal of Management Research*, 5(3), 143-172.
- Sharma, D. (2011). Values, families, and human development: An Indian context. In G. Misra (Ed.), *Handbook of Psychology in India*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- Singh, P., Bhandarker, A., Rai, S., & Jain, A. K. (2011). Relationship between values and workplace: An exploratory analysis. *Facilities*, 29(11/12), 499-520.
- Sinha, J. B. P. (1990). *Work Culture in the Indian Context*. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Spreitzer, G. M. (1995). Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement and validation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(5), 1442-1465.
- Stafford, E. M., & Jackson, P. R. (1983). Job choice or job allocation? Work aspirations and job seeking in an area of high unemployment. *International Review of Applied Psychology*, 32(3), 207-232.
- Terkel, S. (1972). *Working*. New York: Avon Books.
- Tripathi, R. C. (1990). Interplay of values in the functioning of Indian organizations. *International Journal of Psychology*, 25(3-6), 715-734.
- Twenge, J. M., Campbell, S. M., Hoffman, B. J., & Lance, C. E. (2010). Generational differences in work values: Leisure and extrinsic values increasing, social and intrinsic values decreasing. *Journal of Management*, 36(5), 1117-1142.
- Vecchio, R. P. (1980). The function and meaning of work and the job: Morse and Weiss (1955) revisited. *Academy of Management Journal*, 23(2), 361-367.
- Wrzesniewski, A., Dutton, J. E., & Debebe, G. (2003). Interpersonal sense-making and the meaning of work. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 25, 93-135.

**M V Anuradha** is an Assistant Professor in the Organizational Behaviour Area at the Great Lakes Institute of Management, Chennai. She received the Fellow in Management (Ph.D) degree from XLRI and is a postgraduate in Psychology from the University of Delhi. Before joining the Ph.D programme at XLRI, Anuradha taught Psychology and has also worked in an NGO in New Delhi where she headed a non-formal education project for slum children. Her areas of research interest include meaning of work, identity and self-concept development and qualitative research methods.

e-mail: Anuradha.m@greatlakes.edu.in

**E S Srinivas** is a Professor of Organizational Behaviour at XLRI-Xavier School of Management. He was a faculty at the Indian School of Business for three years. His research has been published in journals like the *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *Journal of International Business Studies*, *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, *Journal of World Business*, *International Business Review*, *Cross-Cultural Management*, *International Journal of HRM*, and *Management Decision*. Areas of his current research are leadership, mindfulness, self-regulation, and Indian psychology. He has conducted leadership development programmes for about 40 organizations in India and outside India.

e-mail: srinivas@xlri.ac.in

**Manish Singhal** is an Associate Professor of Organizational Behaviour at XLRI - Xavier School of Management. After a degree in mechanical engineering and an MBA, he worked selflessly for six years at Vivekananda Kendra, a spiritually-oriented service mission where he taught yoga and researched on Anthropology. Thereafter, his doctoral research on Workplace Spirituality led to the degree of Fellow (PhD) from Indian Institute of Management Calcutta. With a diverse training portfolio, he continues to pursue research on workplace spirituality and other allied areas.

e-mail: manishs@xlri.ac.in

**S Ramnarayan** is a Clinical Professor of Business in the Organizational Behaviour Area at the Indian School of Business. An engineer, and an MBA with Ph.D in Organizational Behaviour from the Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, he has worked as manager, consultant, and professor. He has earlier been a faculty member at the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, Case Western Reserve University, USA, and University of Bamberg, Germany. He has published extensively in the areas of organizational learning and change.

e-mail: s\_ramnarayan@isb.edu.in

# FROM OXFORD



## EMERGING ISSUES IN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

A Contemporary Theoretical Perspective

*Essays in Honour of Dipankar Dasgupta and Amitava Bose*

**Sugata Marjit & Meenakshi Rajeev** (eds)

This book analyses the emerging economic issues such as corruption, financial scams, poverty measurement, voting behaviour, informal credit markets, technology transfer, and farmers' suicides through the use of applied economics and advance game-theory models.

9780198099062 Hardback ₹1150



## PATHWAYS TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

*Series: Oxford India Short Introductions*

**Amitava Krishna Dutt**

This short introduction combines theoretical analysis and historical and contemporary experience to explore alternative paths to economic development.

9780198075394 Paperback ₹ 295



## INDIA

Economy Politics Society

**Stuart Corbridge, John Harriss & Craig Jeffrey**

Each chapter in this book seeks to answer a particular question. When and why did India take off? How did a weak state promote audacious reform? Does caste still matter? Why is India threatened by a Maoist insurgency? In addressing these and other pressing questions, the authors take full account of vibrant new scholarship that has emerged over the past decade or so.

9780199450596 Paperback ₹ 750

(For sale in India only)

## BARGAINING WITH A RISING INDIA


*Lessons from the Mahabharata*

**Amrita Narlikar & Aruna Narlikar**

This book focuses on India's negotiating traditions through the lens of the classical Sanskrit text, the Mahabharata, and investigates the continuities and changes in India's negotiation behaviour as a rising power.

9780199698387 Hardback ₹ 795

(For sale in India, Nepal, Bhutan, Myanmar, and Sri Lanka only)



### GLOBAL ACADEMIC PUBLISHING

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

www.oup.co.in



Delhi: 2/11 Ansari Road, Daryaganj, New Delhi 110002 Ph: 23273841-2, 23253647 Fax: 011-23277812 email: delhi.in@oup.com  
Mumbai: 167, Vidyasagar Marg, Kalina, Santacruz (East), Mumbai 400098 Ph: 66973891-93; Fax: 022-26521133 email: mumbai.in@oup.com  
Kolkata: Plot No. A1-5, Block GP, Sector V, Salt Lake Electronics Complex, Kolkata 700091, Ph: 23573739-41; Fax: 033-23573738 email: kolkata.in@oup.com  
Chennai: Oxford House, 289 Anna Salai, Chennai 600006 Ph: 28112107; Fax: 044-28110962 email: chennai.in@oup.com

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide.

Note: The specifications in this advertisement including without limitation price, territorial restrictions, and terms are subject to alteration without notice.

IBHO - ad/109/2014